

Stephen H. Bryant President 300 Friberg Parkway Westborough, MA 01581 (508) 836-7267 Cellular: (508) 397-3518 Fax: (508) 836-7075 sbryant@nisource.com

February 28, 2006

Mary L. Cottrell, Secretary
MA Department of Telecommunications and Energy
One South Station
Boston, MA 02110

Re: Bay State Gas Company CY 2005 Service Quality Report, D.T.E. 06-12

Dear Ms. Cottrell:

Enclosed please find Bay State Gas Company's ("Bay State" or "Company") CY 2005 Service Quality Report ("CY 2005 SQ Report"). This SQ Report reflects the Massachusetts Department of Telecommunications and Energy's ("Department") directives set forth in its February 27, 2006, memorandum regarding the appropriate filing format for service quality reports ("Memorandum").

The Company's CY 2005 SQ Report has been organized in the following manner:

Section One: Form A

Page 1 – Penalty Provisions Requirements

Page 2 – Additional Reporting Requirements

Section Two: Historic Information

Page 1 – Summary of BSG SQ Performance – Penalty Provisions

Page 2 - Summary of BSG Capital Spending

Page 3 - Summary of BSG Unaccounted For Gas

Page 4 – Summary of BSG/NUI Staffing

Page 5 – Summary of BSG Customer Satisfaction Survey Results

Section Three: CY 2005 Back-up Data

Page 1 –TSF (Non-Emergency and Emergency)

Page 2 - Service Appointment Kept

Page 3 - On-Cycle Meter Reads

Page 4 - Consumer Division Case

Page 5 - Billing Adjustments

Page 6 - Lost Time Accident Rate and Restricted Work-Day Rate

D.T.E. 06-12 Bay State Gas Company CY 2005 Service Quality Report February 28, 2006 Page 2

Page 7 - Response to Odor Calls

Page 8 - Consumer Surveys

Page 9 - Customer Service Guarantees

Appendix A: CY 2005 Capital Spending Detail

Appendix B: CY 2005 Inventory Report

The Company has met all of its targets associated with penalty-related service quality measurement categories. Therefore, Bay State has not incurred any penalties during the CY 2005 Reporting Period.

Please date stamp a copy of this letter for our files, and return in the enclosed envelope. Also, please feel free to contact me at (508) 836-7267 should you have any questions concerning this filing.

Sincerely,

Stephen H. Bryant

cc: Jody Stiefel, Esq. (six copies)
Robert Sydney, Esq., DOER
Joseph Rogers, Esq., AGO
Patricia M. French, Esq.

Bay State Gas Company CY 2005 SQ Report D.T.E. 06-12

Section One

FORM A

BAY STATE GAS COMPANY

CY 2005 SQ Report Filed March 1, 2006

CY 2005 Historic Data Cross Reference List	PENALTY PROVISIONS	Years in Database 1/	Mean and Benchmark	Performance in 2005	Comments
Section 3, Page 1	Non-Emergency TSF Within 30 Seconds (%)	7	Mean - 72.2% Benchmark - 64.6%	88.1%	
	Non-Emergency TSF Within 20 Seconds (%)	3	Mean - 74.1%	86.3%	The Company began collecting data on this measure during 2002.
Section 3, Page 1	Emergency TSF Within 30 Seconds (%)	7	Mean - 96.9% Benchmark - 95.2%	96.8%	
Section 3, Page 1	Emergency TSF Within 20 Seconds (%)	3	Mean - 93.4%	95.4%	The Company began collecting data on this measure during 2002.
Section 3, Page 2	Service Appointments Kept (%)	8	Mean - 97.9% Benchmark - 96.6%	99.3%	
Section 3, Page 3	On-Cycle Meter Reads (%)	12	Mean - 89.6% Benchmark - 86.6%	99.1%	
Section 3, Page 4	Consumer Division Cases	13	Mean - 1.6 Benchmark - 2.0	1.01	
	Bill Adjustments (\$/1000 customers)	13	Mean - \$116.42 Benchmark - \$180.00	\$40.52	
Page 6	Lost Time Accident Rate (# of acc/200,000 employee hours)	10	Mean - 3.91 Benchmark - 4.69	1.96	
Section 3, Page 7	Response to Odor Calls (%)	n/a	Target - 95%	97.7%	Historical information is not applicable as the benchmark is set by the DTE. Therefore, no mean was calculated.

Notes: 1/ See Section Two - Page 1 for a summary of BSG SQ performance history for each of these penalty-related measures.

FORM A (CONTINUED)

BAY STATE GAS COMPANY

CY 2005 SQ Report Filed March 1, 2006

CY 2002	ADDITIONAL REPORTING	Years in	Mean and	Performance	Comments
Data Cross	REQUIREMENTS	Database	Benchmark	in 2005	
Reference List					
Section 2, Page 4	Staffing Levels	13	Mean: 916		Per the Department's Letter Order dated May 28, 2002, pp. 3-4, no benchmark was established for this measure
rage 4					by the DTE. The Company notes that the reported staffing levels between 12/31/02 and 12/31/05 neither reflect employees who still work Bay State and are now NiSource Corporate Service employees, nor positions that were eliminated at Bay State and now performed in other locations.
Section 3,	Restricted Work Day Rate (#	n/a	n/a	4.33	Ten years worth of this data for this measure is not
Page 6	of acc/200,000 employee hours)				currently available. Therefore, no mean has been calculated. Also, no benchmark was established for this measure by the DTE.
1/	Property Damage > \$5K (#)	n/a	n/a	2	Ten years worth of this data for this measure is not currently available. Therefore, no mean has been calculated. Also, no benchmark was established for this measure by the DTE.
Section 2, Page 3	Unaccounted For Gas (Mcf)	14	Mean: 345,372 or 0.61%		No benchmark was established for this measure by the DTE.
Section 2,	Capital Expenditures (# of	13	Total Mean:	n/a	The Company has provided historic information on
Page 2 & Appendix A	projects and total \$)		\$40,464,310 Reliability- Related Mean: \$13,832,344		dollars spent associated with total capital invested and capital invested related to system-reliability. Please see both Section 2, Page 2 and Appendix A for additional information related to historic capital expenditures.
2/	Spare Component & Inventory Policy	n/a	n/a	n/a	Ten years worth of this data for this measure is not currently available. Therefore, no mean has been calculated. Also, no benchmark was established for this measure by the DTE.
3/	Customer Surveys (scale of 1-7)		- /-	0.0	Oak sink assessment of historical late in a sink had for
Section 3, Page 8	Random	8	n/a	6.0	Only eight years worth of historical data is available for this measure, and both the questions and the scaling system are different for those historical surveys than the current requirements. Therefore, no mean has been calculated. Also, no benchmark was established for this measure by the DTE.
Section 3, Page 8	Calls	n/a	n/a	6.3	Ten years worth of this data for this measure is not currently available. Therefore, no mean has been calculated. Also, no benchmark was established for this measure by the DTE.
4/	Accidents	n/a	n/a	9.0	Ten years worth of this data for this measure is not currently available. Therefore, no mean has been calculated. Also, no benchmark was established for this measure by the DTE.
Section 3, Page 9	Customer Service Guarantees (#; total \$)	n/a	n/a	\$ 3,775.00	Ten years worth of this data for this measure is not currently available. Therefore, no mean has been calculated. Also, no benchmark was established for this measure by the DTE.

Notes:

- 1/ In CY 2005, the Company reported to the Department the following incidents where damage was greater than \$5,000: (1) June 21, 2005 8 Scadding Street, Taunton; (2) October 29, 2005 49 Silverwood Drive, Taunton.
- 2/ Bay State Gas Company's current spare component and acquisition inventory practice is to stock spare components and repair parts in its warehouses for every size gas main in its various operating locations. When Bay State installs a new size gas main, spare components and repair parts for the new gas main are put into the inventory system. Bay State Gas Company believes it is critical to stock spare components and repair parts for its distribution system. Operations managers, supervisors, and engineers along with purchasing and warehouse personnel work together to stock these materials as described above. See Appendix B for a report showing the dollar values of inventory associated with each location.
- 3/ For historical data see Section 2, Page 5.
- 4/ In CY 2005, the Company notified the Department of the following 9 reportable incidents: (1) May 19, 2005 Pine Street Court, Springfield; (2) October 15, 2005 Captain Rd., Longmeadow; (3) October 21, 2005 77 Boylston St. Springfield; (4) November 9, 2005 38 North Rd., Hampden; (5) November 9, 2005 333 Bridge St., Springfield; (6) November 15, 2005 52 Rosewell Ave., Springfield; (7) December 28, 2005 Wilson St. @ Newton St., South Hadley; (8) June 21, 2005 8 Scadding Street, Taunton; and (9) August 9, 2005 1958 Broadway, Raynham.

Bay State Gas Company CY 2005 SQ Report D.T.E. 06-12

Section Two

BAY STATE GAS COMPANY SUMMARY BSG SQ PERFORMANCE HISTORY PENALTY-RELATED MEASURES

SQI Measures								History 1	'						TARGET		Weight
	2004	2003	2002 6/	2001	2000	1999	1998	1997	1996	1995	1994	1993	1992	Sample Size	Mean (GOAL)	STD DEV (sample)	
SERVICE & BILLING: TSF 30 seconds - Non-emergency	85.8%	73.0%	70.5%	75.8%	70.0%	61.0%	69.0%							7	72.2%	7.5%	6.25%
TSF 20 seconds - Non-emergency	83.9%	70.7%	67.8%	2/	70.070	01.070	03.070							3	74.1%	8.6%	0.2370
TSF 30 seconds - Emergency calls	97.6%	96.9%	97.6%	97.7%	93.5%	96.2%	98.9%							7	96.9%	1.7%	6.25%
TSF 20 seconds - Emergency calls ^{/3}	95.3%	93.5%	91.4%	2/										3	93.4%	2.0%	
Service Appointments Kept	99.5%	99.3%	99.4%	97.6%	96.9%	97.7%	96.8%	96.3%						8	97.9%	1.3%	12.50%
On-Cycle Meter Reads	96.8%	95.3%	95.9%	92.8%	87.0%	90.7%	88.4%	87.2%	88.4%	90.7%	88.5%	85.9%		10 14	89.6%	3.0%	10%
CUSTOMER SATISFACTION:																	
Consumer Division Cases/1000 Cust.	1.0	1.3	1.3	1.2	2.5	1.5	1.5	1.3	1.0	1.4	2.0	2.0	1.7	10 ^{/5}	1.60	0.4	5%
Bill Adjustments/1000 Cust.	\$42.37	\$57.10	\$115.91	\$218.55	\$202.55	\$139.20	\$174.27	\$75.79	\$47.54	\$71.96	\$113.2	\$65.2	\$55.9	10 ^{/5}	\$116.42	\$63.58	5%
SAFETY:																	
Lost Time Accident Rate	4.36	4.03	3.97	3.28	2.97	3.00	4.98	3.34	4.05	5.16				10 /7	3.91	0.8	10%
Response To Odor Calls (< 1 hr.)	98.2%	97.7%	98.6%	98.3%	97%	99%	97%	95%	96%	96%	95%	95%		n/a	95%		45%

100.00%

Notes

- 1/ Years 1995-1999 reported on a Fiscal Year basis. 2000 reported on an annualized basis according to DTE guidelines, because the corporation moved from a Fiscal Year to a Calendar Year. Data from 2001 to 2004 is reported on an Calendar Year basis.
- 2/ Bay State does not have historical data for this measure prior to 2002. However, pursuant to the DTE's Letter Order dated May 28, 2002, Bay State ordered, installed and implemented a new data recording system to report Emergency Call data at 20 seconds in parallel to the 30 second reporting.
- 3/ The results for 2002 were based on the 3 months of data that were gathered once the system to record this measure was installed.
- 4/ The Order issued in DTE 99-84 stated that the target benchmark would be calculated using the most current 10 years of data. If 10 years of data is not available, the benchmark would be based on at least 3 years of data, which would be updated with new data each year until 10 years of data was available. The 10 years of data is now available for this measure. The Mean (target) and standard deviation for the On-Cycle Meter Reading is based on the years 1993-2002.
- 5/ The Order issued in DTE 99-84 stated that the target benchmark would be calculated using the most current 10 years of data. If 10 years of data is not available, the benchmark would be based on at least 3 years of data, which would be updated with new data each year until 10 years of data was available. The 10 years of data is now available for this measure. The Mean (target) and standard deviation for both the Customer Satisfaction measures (Consumer Division cases and Billing Adjustments) is based on the years 1992-2001.
- 6/ See the Company's response to data request DTE 3-07, Attachment A in Docket No. D.T.E. 03-10 for the most current 2002 reported performance data.
- 7/ The Order issued in DTE 99-84 stated that the target benchmark would be calculated using the most current 10 years of data. If 10 years of data is not available, the benchmark would be based on at least 3 years of data, which would be updated with new data each year until 10 years of data was available. The 10 years of data is now available for this measure. The Mean (target) and standard deviation for both the Customer Satisfaction measures (Consumer Division cases and Billing Adjustments) is based on the years 1995-2004.

SUMMARY - BSG CAPITAL SPENDING HISTORY

	Capital	Capital	Capital Investn	nent Related to System		
	Investment	Investment	Maintenai	nce Completed 1/		
	Approved	Completed 3/				
			Replacements	Other Operations 2/		
2005	\$52,870,000	\$49,854,266	\$25,529,905	\$736,256		
2004	\$37,682,249	\$36,629,575	\$15,421,895	\$1,261,053		
2003	\$26,186,456	\$27,625,298	\$7,792,356	\$868,996		
2002	\$29,834,642	\$30,172,843	\$7,041,564	\$877,677		
2001	\$30,919,100	\$30,345,827	\$8,350,584	\$1,507,899		
2000	n/a	\$33,736,573	\$8,440,293	\$355,511		
1999	n/a	\$67,672,862	\$10,214,548	\$13,826,372		
1998	n/a	\$38,863,794	\$10,378,019	\$2,219,611		
1997	n/a	\$45,161,844	\$10,172,475	\$4,318,887		
1996	n/a	\$35,913,533	\$7,720,601	\$2,394,570		
1995	n/a	\$40,214,893	\$6,643,926	\$3,316,512		
1994	n/a	\$41,335,366	\$11,675,532	\$2,732,421		
1993	n/a	\$48,509,355	\$12,973,537	\$3,049,468		
Mean 4/	\$35,498,489	\$40,464,310	\$10,950,403	\$2,881,941		
Combined Mean 4/			\$13,832,344			

- 1/ See Appendix A for a list of each type of capital project the Company completed, including those projects related to maintaining transmission and distribution reliability. A list of specific system reliability-related projects can be found under two major categories of work, including REPLACEMENTS and OTHER OPERATIONS, respectively.
- 2/ System reliability-related projects that fall under the major category heading of OTHER OPERATIONS include the following two subcategories: Regulators, Plant Work, Small Projects, and Special Projects.
- 3/ The Company notes that Capital Investment Completed figures include Total Overhead dollars, while Capital Investment Related to System Maintenance Completed does not.
- 4/ The Mean and Combined Mean are calculated using all data reported.

SUMMARY - BSG UNACCOUNTED FOR GAS HISTORY

(as reported in its Annual Report to the D.T.E. 1/)

YEAR	Gas Accounted For (MCF)	Gas Unaccounted For (MCF)	% Gas Unaccounted For
2005 2/	59,012,023	168,940	0.29%
2004	63,538,630	435,819	0.68%
2003	68,345,875	967,263	1.40%
2002	68,773,728	-95,467	-0.14%
2001	63,345,695	-299,313	-0.47%
2000	38,941,581	383,435	0.98%
1999	38,155,282	-182,456	-0.48%
1998	52,287,702	-146,610	-0.28%
1997	55,426,325	1,121,343	1.98%
1996	52,763,777	-706,193	-1.36%
1995	57,600,907	705,443	1.21%
1994	51,625,599	119,910	0.23%
1993	51,213,177	1,322,942	2.52%
1992	51,964,578	1,040,155	1.96%
Mean 3/	55,213,920	345,372	0.61%

Notes:

^{1/} See Page 72, Lines 21-23 of the Company's Annual Report to the D.T.E. for this information.

^{2/} The Company's current Annual Report to the D.T.E. is not available at the time of this filing. Accordingly, the Company used an internal report (i.e., Schedule 26) for this information.

^{3/} The Mean is calculated using all data reported.

SUMMARY BSG STAFFING HISTORY 1/ FULL TIME AND PART TIME REGULAR EMPLOYEES DECEMBER 31, 1993 TO DECEMBER 31, 2005

	<u>1993</u>	<u>1994</u>	<u>1995</u>	<u>1996</u>	<u>1997</u>	<u>1998</u>	<u>1999</u>	<u>2000</u>	<u>2001</u>	<u>2002</u>	<u>2003</u>	<u>2004</u>	<u>2005</u>	Mean 2/
BSG Total Full-time FTEs	889	887	900	875	789	815	782	735	671	532	504	545	547	729
BSG Total Part-time FTEs	-	41	26	-	44	46	25	22	19	12	23	23	21	23
Total BSG FTEs 3/	889	928	926	875	833	861	807	757	690	544	527	568	568	752

Notes:

^{1/} These statistic exclude Northern Utilities, Granite State Gas Transmission and temporary employees as well as work that was outsourced to third party vendors.

^{2/} The mean is calculated using all available data reported by category.

^{3/} Reported staffing levels between 12/31/00 and 12/31/05 neither reflect employees who still work for Bay State and are now NiSource Corporate Service employees, nor positions that were eliminated at Bay State and now are performed in other locations.

SUMMARY OF BSG CUSTOMER SATISFACTION SURVEY HISTORY

F	<u>Residenti</u>	al Custo	mer Surv	<u>ey</u>	<u>Servic</u>	e Contac	<u>t Survey</u>	
	YEAR		RESULT		YEAR		RESULT	
	2002		6.2		2002		6.5	
	2003		6.2		2003		6.2	
	2004		6.1		2004		6.1	
	MEAN		6.2		MEAN		6.3	

YEAR	RESULT
2001	90.60%
2000	 90.50%
1999	 91.70%
1998	 92.60%
1997	 93.30%
MEAN	91.74%

Bay State Gas Company CY 2005 SQ Report D.T.E. 06-12

Section Three

Telephone Service Factor

Percent of Non-Emergency and Emergency Calls answered within 30 seconds (& 20 seconds, measured in parallel)

Non-Emergency Calls

2005 Target (for 30 seconds): 72.2% (Mean)

2005 Benchmark (for 30 seconds): 64.6% (@ 1Standard Deviation)

2005 Actual Performance (for 30 seconds): 88.1%

	Number	Answered	Monthly	Period	% Answered
Month	of	within			within
	calls	30 seconds 1/	Percent	Percent	20 seconds
Jan-05	97,681	87,743	89.8%	89.8%	88.4%
Feb-05	93,172	81,887	87.9%	88.9%	86.1%
Mar-05	105,563	93,288	88.4%	88.7%	86.7%
Apr-05	94,417	86,626	91.7%	89.4%	90.6%
May-05	109,070	89,108	81.7%	87.7%	79.4%
Jun-05	103,867	84,100	81.0%	86.6%	78.6%
Jul-05	88,347	77,081	87.2%	86.7%	84.9%
Aug-05	89,802	85,149	94.8%	87.6%	93.6%
Sep-05	80,619	75,654	93.8%	88.2%	92.5%
Oct-05	100,607	82,300	81.8%	87.5%	79.1%
Nov-05	81,893	72,919	89.0%	87.6%	87.4%
Dec-05	77,170	71,740	93.0%	88.0%	91.6%
Year 2005	1,122,208	987,595		88.0%	86.2%

Emergency Calls

2005 Target (for 30 seconds): 96.9% (Mean)

2005 Benchmark (for 30 seconds): 95.2% (@ 1 Standard Deviation)

2005 Actual Performance (for 30 seconds): 96.8%

	Number	Answered	Monthly	Period	% Answered
Month	of	within			within
	calls	30 seconds 1/	Percent	Percent	20 seconds
Jan-05	4,268	4,101	96.1%	96.1%	94.1%
Feb-05	2,878	2,827	98.2%	96.9%	96.4%
Mar-05	3,186	3,134	98.4%	97.4%	97.6%
Apr-05	2,856	2,832	99.2%	97.8%	98.4%
May-05	3,235	3,188	98.5%	97.9%	97.8%
Jun-05	3,047	2,949	96.8%	97.7%	95.7%
Jul-05	2,458	2,423	98.6%	97.8%	97.9%
Aug-05	2,467	2,438	98.8%	97.9%	98.1%
Sep-05	2,271	2,257	99.4%	98.1%	99.2%
Oct-05	4,293	4,052	94.4%	97.6%	91.7%
Nov-05	4,331	4,129	95.3%	97.3%	93.7%
Dec-05	4,269	3,969	93.0%	96.8%	91.3%
Year 2005	39,559	38,299		96.8%	95.4%

Notes

^{1/} The number of calls answered within 30 seconds is based on the system reported percent answered within 30 seconds multiplied by the number of calls.

Service Appointments Kept

Percent of service calls made on same day as appointment was scheduled with customer

2005 Target: 97.9% (Mean)

2005 Benchmark: 96.6% (@ 1 Standard Deviation)

2005 Actual Performance: 99.3%

	Number	Number met	Monthly	YTD
	of	same day		
Month	Appointments	scheduled	Percent	Percent
Jan-05	5,879	5,854	99.6%	99.6%
Feb-05	6,376	6,331	99.3%	99.4%
Mar-05	7,559	7,535	99.7%	99.5%
Apr-05	7,233	7,210	99.7%	99.6%
May-05	7,285	7,245	99.5%	99.5%
Jun-05	6,770	6,737	99.5%	99.5%
Jul-05	5,941	5,884	99.0%	99.5%
Aug-05	6,738	6,696	99.4%	99.5%
Sep-05	7,501	7,454	99.4%	99.5%
Oct-05	7,213	7,124	98.8%	99.4%
Nov-05	6,896	6,788	98.4%	99.3%
Dec-05	5,162	5,124	99.3%	99.3%
Year 2005	80,553	79,982		99.3%

On-Cycle Meter Reads

Percent of actual reads for all meters due to be read at cycle

2005 Target: 89.6% (Mean)

2005 Benchmark: 86.6% (@ 1 Standard Deviation)

2005 Actual Performance: 99.1%

Month	Total Meters	Total	Monthly	Period
	To Be Read	Actual Reads	Percent	Percent
Jan-05	285,511	281,546	98.6%	98.6%
Feb-05	286,698	283,133	98.8%	98.7%
Mar-05	286,916	284,296	99.1%	98.8%
Apr-05	287,090	284,981	99.3%	98.9%
May-05	287,201	285,043	99.2%	99.0%
Jun-05	287,569	285,265	99.2%	99.0%
Jul-05	287,565	285,237	99.2%	99.1%
Aug-05	288,099	285,912	99.2%	99.1%
Sep-05	288,300	286,204	99.3%	99.1%
Oct-05	287,589	285,579	99.3%	99.1%
Nov-05	288,218	286,260	99.3%	99.1%
Dec-05	290,447	288,315	99.3%	99.1%
Year 2005	3,451,203	3,421,771		99.1%

Consumer Division Cases (per 1000 customers)

Number of consumer cases as recorded by DTE Consumer Division

2005 Target: 1.6 Cases (Mean)

2005 Benchmark: 2.0 Cases (@ 1 Standard Deviation)

2005 Actual Performance: 1.01

Month	DTE Cases
Jan-05	17
Feb-05	23
Mar-05	14
Apr-05	15
May-05	29
Jun-05	26
Jul-05	29
Aug-05	19
Sep-05	25
Oct-05	25
Nov-05	22
Dec-05	16
Year 2005	260

Total # residential customers at end of period	257,166
# cases per 1000 customers	1.01

Billing Adjustments

Revenue adjustment amount resulting from the DTE intervention in a billing dispute between Bay State Gas and a residential customer

2005 Target: \$116.42 (Mean)

2005 Benchmark: \$180.00 (@ 1 Standard Deviation)

2005 Actual Performance: \$40.52

Month	Adjustments		
	per	1000 cust.	
Jan-05	\$	2,925.93	
Feb-05	\$	2,047.94	
Mar-05	\$	56.70	
Apr-05	\$	612.76	
May-05	\$	1,054.70	
Jun-05	\$	-	
Jul-05	\$	440.00	
Aug-05	\$	-	
Sep-05	\$	268.70	
Oct-05	\$	42.51	
Nov-05	\$	487.26	
Dec-05	\$	2,484.05	
Year 2005	\$	10,420.55	

Total # residential customers at end of period	257,166
# cases per 1000 customers	\$40.52

Lost Time Accident Rate (per 200,000 employee hours)

Lost Work Time Accident Rate - Incident Rate of Lost Work Time Injuries and Illness per 200,000 Employee Hours as defined by the U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics

2005 Target: 3.91 (Mean)

2005 Benchmark: 4.69 (@ 1 Standard Deviation)

2005 Actual Performance: 1.96

Restricted Word-Day Rate (per 200,000 employee hours) - Reporting Requirement Only

Restricted Work-Day Rate - Incident Rate of Restricted Work Cases per 200,000 employees hours as defined by the U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Labor Statistics

		Lost time		Restricte	ed work
		Number	Monthly	# restricted	Monthly
Month	Hours	DAW	Incident	work	Incident
	Worked	Incidents	Rate	Incidents	Rate
Jan-05	110,397	0	0.00	2	3.62
Feb-05	115,223	0	0.00	3	5.21
Mar-05	115,059	2	3.48	1	1.74
Apr-05	136,990	0	0.00	2	2.92
May-05	113,850	2	3.51	2	3.51
Jun-05	115,047	0	0.00	3	5.22
Jul-05	133,479	1	1.50	3	4.50
Aug-05	106,805	0	0.00	0	0.00
Sep-05	128,008	5	7.81	6	9.37
Oct-05	109,525	0	0.00	2	3.65
Nov-05	110,596	1	1.81	3	5.43
Dec-05	135,676	3	4.42	4	5.90
Year 2005	1,430,655	14	1.96	31	4.33

One Hour Or Less Response To Odor Calls

Percent of odor calls responded to in one hour or less

2005 Target: 95% (Mandatory)

2005 Benchmark: 95% (@ 1 Standard Deviation)

2005 Actual Performance: 97.7%

	Number of	Number	Monthly	YTD
		responded to		
Month	calls	within 1 hour	Percent	Percent
Jan-05	1,783	1,723	96.6%	96.6%
Feb-05	1,379	1,365	99.0%	97.7%
Mar-05	1,359	1,341	98.7%	98.0%
Apr-05	1,233	1,213	98.4%	98.1%
May-05	1,236	1,228	99.4%	98.3%
Jun-05	1,182	1,166	98.6%	98.3%
Jul-05	968	946	97.7%	98.3%
Aug-05	1,133	1,100	97.1%	98.1%
Sep-05	1,110	1,089	98.1%	98.1%
Oct-05	1,864	1,795	96.3%	97.9%
Nov-05	1,971	1,900	96.4%	97.7%
Dec-05	2,030	1,980	97.5%	97.7%
Year 2005	17,248	16,846		97.7%

Consumer Surveys - Reporting Requirement Only

Survey responses use a scale of 1 to 7, where: 1= very satisfied and 7 = very dissatisfied

Contact Center Survey -

Survey of customers randomly selected from those customers who contacted the Company's Customer Service Department within the year in which service is being measured.

MA Residential Customer Survey -

Customer satisfaction of a statistically representative sample of residential customers.

Month	Call Center	Rating	MA Customers	Rating
	Survey vol. 1/		Survey vol. 2/	
Jan-05	31	6.1		
Feb-05	30	6.2		
Mar-05	39	6.4		
Apr-05	31	6.4		
May-05	15	6.5	200	6.1
Jun-05	54	6.5		
Jul-05	31	6.3		
Aug-05	36	6.5		
Sep-05	31	6.3		
Oct-05	31	6.3	204	6.0
Nov-05	30	6.1		
Dec-05	39	6.2		
Year 2005	398	6.3	-	6.0

Notes:

- 1/ The Contact Center Survey was conducted by the Wilkerson Associates, Louisville, KY.
- 2/ The MA Residential Customer Survey was conducted by Research Data Analysis, Inc., Bloomfield Hills, MI.

Customer Service Guarantees

Failure to keep appointments scheduled with the customer or failure to notify customers of planned interruption(outage) will result in a \$25 credit to the customer.

	Appointments	Planned	Р	enalties
Month	missed by >	outages not	cr	edited to
	4hrs.	notified	Cı	ustomers
Jan-05	9	0	\$	225.00
Feb-05	9	0	\$	225.00
Mar-05	12	0	\$	300.00
Apr-05	4	0	\$	100.00
May-05	5	0	\$	125.00
Jun-05	5	0	\$	125.00
Jul-05	12	0	\$	300.00
Aug-05	17	0	\$	425.00
Sep-05	13	0	\$	325.00
Oct-05	29	0	\$	725.00
Nov-05	21	0	\$	525.00
Dec-05	15	0	\$	375.00
Year 2005	151	0	\$	3,775.00

Bay State Gas Company CY 2005 SQ Report D.T.E. 06-12

Appendix A

Bay State Gas Company CALENDAR YEAR 2005 CAPITAL SPENDING DETAIL

(Col 1)	(Col 2)	(Col 3)
12 MONTH	12 MONTH	Year To Date
Actual	Budget	Variance

	Actual	Duuget	variance
GROWTH			
New Mains Installed			
Units	163,207	182,891	(19,684)
Unit Cost	\$9.72	\$9.02	\$0.70
Dollars	1,586,150	1,650,000	(63,850)
New Residential Service			
Units	2,004	2,278	(274)
Unit Cost	\$1,401.69	\$1,355.17	\$46.52
Dollars	2,808,989	3,086,829	(277,840)
New C&I Service			
Units	550	429	121
Unit Cost	\$1,895.29	\$1,916.90	(\$21.60)
Dollars	1,042,412	823,171	219,241
New Residential Meters	· · · · · ·	,	,
Units	4,143	4,975	(832)
Unit Cost	\$103.47	\$65.58	\$37.89
Dollars	428,675	326,269	102,406
New C&I Meters	,	,	,
Units	264	319	(55)
Unit Cost	\$2,253.93	\$1,297.26	\$956.67
Dollars	595,037	413,731	181,306
BETTERMENT - GROWTH	779,899	1,700,000	(920,101)
SPECIAL PROJECTS	0	0	0
Total Growth	7,241,162	8,000,000	(758,838)
DENTEALC			
RENTALS			1
New Resid CB			
Units	41	37	4
Unit Cost	\$538.98	\$1,231.09	(\$692.11)
Dollars	22,098	45,000	(22,902)
New C&I CB			
Units	0	0	0
Unit Cost			\$0.00
Dollars	14,596	0	14,596
New Water Heaters			
Units	1,263	1,135	128
Unit Cost	\$531.44	\$753.51	(\$222.07)
Dollars	671,213	855,000	(183,787)
Replacement Water Heater			
Units	2,043	1,889	154
Unit Cost	\$538.16	\$741.18	(\$203.03)
Dollars	1,099,452	1,400,000	(300,548)
Total Rentals	1,807,359	2,300,000	(492,641)

Bay State Gas Company CALENDAR YEAR 2005 CAPITAL SPENDING DETAIL

	(Col 1)	(Col 2)	(Col 3)
	12 MONTH	12 MONTH	Year To Date
	Actual		Variance
	Actual	Budget	variance
METER WORK			
Upgrade Meter Fits			
Units	1,370	1,711	(341)
Unit Cost	\$248.77	\$213.31	\$35.45
Dollars	340,812	365,000	(24,188)
Instrumentation			
Units	372	894	(522)
Unit Cost	\$38.60	\$61.55	(\$22.95)
Dollars	14,358	55,000	(40,642)
Relocate Meter Fits			
Units	435	555	(120)
Unit Cost	(\$14.18)	\$162.25	(\$176.43)
Dollars	(6,169)	90,000	(96,169)
Residential Metscan			
Units	4	0	4
Unit Cost	\$146.00		\$146.00
Dollars	584	0	584
C&I Metscan			
Units	14	80	(66)
		¢100 60	\$833.83
Unit Cost	\$1,022.43	\$188.60	
Dollars	14,314	15,000	(686)
Dollars Total Meter Work	14,314	15,000	(686)
Dollars Total Meter Work REPLACEMENTS	14,314	15,000	(686)
Dollars Total Meter Work REPLACEMENTS Replacement Mains	14,314 363,899	15,000 525,000	(161,101)
Dollars Total Meter Work REPLACEMENTS Replacement Mains Units	363,899 362,800	15,000 525,000 155,527	(686) (161,101) 207,273
Dollars Total Meter Work REPLACEMENTS Replacement Mains Units Unit Cost	363,899 362,800 \$49.03	15,000 525,000 155,527 \$33.63	(686) (161,101) 207,273 \$15.40
Dollars Total Meter Work REPLACEMENTS Replacement Mains Units Unit Cost Dollars	363,899 362,800	15,000 525,000 155,527	(686) (161,101) 207,273
Dollars Total Meter Work REPLACEMENTS Replacement Mains Units Unit Cost Dollars Replacement Resid Serv	362,800 \$49.03 17,787,716	15,000 525,000 155,527 \$33.63 5,230,000	(686) (161,101) 207,273 \$15.40 12,557,716
Dollars Total Meter Work REPLACEMENTS Replacement Mains Units Unit Cost Dollars Replacement Resid Serv Units	362,800 \$49.03 17,787,716	15,000 525,000 155,527 \$33.63 5,230,000 2,204	(686) (161,101) 207,273 \$15.40 12,557,716
Dollars Total Meter Work REPLACEMENTS Replacement Mains Units Unit Cost Dollars Replacement Resid Serv Units Unit Cost	362,800 \$49.03 17,787,716 3,038 \$1,599.59	15,000 525,000 155,527 \$33.63 5,230,000 2,204 \$970.38	(686) (161,101) 207,273 \$15.40 12,557,716 834 \$629.21
Dollars Total Meter Work REPLACEMENTS Replacement Mains	362,800 \$49.03 17,787,716	15,000 525,000 155,527 \$33.63 5,230,000 2,204	(686) (161,101) 207,273 \$15.40 12,557,716
Dollars Total Meter Work REPLACEMENTS Replacement Mains Units Unit Cost Dollars Replacement Resid Serv Units Unit Cost Dollars Replacement Cost Dollars	362,800 \$49.03 17,787,716 3,038 \$1,599.59 4,859,555	15,000 525,000 155,527 \$33.63 5,230,000 2,204 \$970.38 2,138,454	(686) (161,101) 207,273 \$15.40 12,557,716 834 \$629.21 2,721,101
Dollars Total Meter Work REPLACEMENTS Replacement Mains Units Unit Cost Dollars Replacement Resid Serv Units Unit Cost Dollars Replacement Cost Dollars Replacement Cost Dollars	362,800 \$49.03 17,787,716 3,038 \$1,599.59 4,859,555	15,000 525,000 155,527 \$33.63 5,230,000 2,204 \$970.38 2,138,454	(686) (161,101) 207,273 \$15.40 12,557,716 834 \$629.21 2,721,101
Total Meter Work REPLACEMENTS Replacement Mains Units Unit Cost Dollars Replacement Resid Serv Units Unit Cost Dollars Replacement C&I Serv Units Units Unit Cost Units Unit Cost Dollars	362,800 \$49.03 17,787,716 3,038 \$1,599.59 4,859,555 44 \$2,799.39	15,000 525,000 155,527 \$33.63 5,230,000 2,204 \$970.38 2,138,454 140 \$1,685.29	(686) (161,101) 207,273 \$15.40 12,557,716 834 \$629.21 2,721,101 (96) \$1,114.10
Total Meter Work REPLACEMENTS Replacement Mains Units Unit Cost Dollars Replacement Resid Serv Units Unit Cost Dollars Replacement C&I Serv Units Units Units Dollars	362,800 \$49.03 17,787,716 3,038 \$1,599.59 4,859,555	15,000 525,000 155,527 \$33.63 5,230,000 2,204 \$970.38 2,138,454	(686) (161,101) 207,273 \$15.40 12,557,716 834 \$629.21 2,721,101
Total Meter Work REPLACEMENTS Replacement Mains Units Unit Cost Dollars Replacement Resid Serv Units Unit Cost Dollars Replacement C&I Serv Units Unit Cost Dollars Replacement C&I Serv Units Unit Cost Units Unit Cost Dollars Uprate	362,800 \$49.03 17,787,716 3,038 \$1,599.59 4,859,555 44 \$2,799.39 123,173	15,000 525,000 155,527 \$33.63 5,230,000 2,204 \$970.38 2,138,454 140 \$1,685.29 236,546	207,273 \$15.40 12,557,716 834 \$629.21 2,721,101 (96) \$1,114.10 (113,373)
Total Meter Work REPLACEMENTS Replacement Mains Units Unit Cost Dollars Replacement Resid Serv Units Unit Cost Dollars Replacement C&I Serv Units Unit Cost Dollars Units Unit Cost Dollars Units Unit Cost Dollars Uprate Units	362,800 \$49.03 17,787,716 3,038 \$1,599.59 4,859,555 44 \$2,799.39 123,173	15,000 525,000 155,527 \$33.63 5,230,000 2,204 \$970.38 2,138,454 140 \$1,685.29	(686) (161,101) 207,273 \$15.40 12,557,716 834 \$629.21 2,721,101 (96) \$1,114.10 (113,373)
Total Meter Work REPLACEMENTS Replacement Mains Units Unit Cost Dollars Replacement Resid Serv Units Unit Cost Dollars Replacement C&I Serv Units Unit Cost Dollars Units Unit Cost Units Unit Cost Units Unit Cost Units Unit Cost Dollars	362,800 \$49.03 17,787,716 3,038 \$1,599.59 4,859,555 44 \$2,799.39 123,173	15,000 525,000 155,527 \$33.63 5,230,000 2,204 \$970.38 2,138,454 140 \$1,685.29 236,546	(686) (161,101) 207,273 \$15.40 12,557,716 834 \$629.21 2,721,101 (96) \$1,114.10 (113,373) 14 \$2,448.29
Total Meter Work REPLACEMENTS Replacement Mains Units Unit Cost Dollars Replacement Resid Serv Units Unit Cost Dollars Replacement C&I Serv Units Unit Cost Units Unit Cost Dollars Unit Cost Units Unit Cost Dollars Unit Cost Dollars	362,800 \$49.03 17,787,716 3,038 \$1,599.59 4,859,555 44 \$2,799.39 123,173	15,000 525,000 155,527 \$33.63 5,230,000 2,204 \$970.38 2,138,454 140 \$1,685.29 236,546	(686) (161,101) 207,273 \$15.40 12,557,716 834 \$629.21 2,721,101 (96) \$1,114.10 (113,373)
Total Meter Work REPLACEMENTS Replacement Mains Units Unit Cost Dollars Replacement Resid Serv Units Unit Cost Dollars Replacement C&I Serv Units Unit Cost Dollars Units Unit Cost Units Unit Cost Units Unit Cost Units Unit Cost Dollars	362,800 \$49.03 17,787,716 3,038 \$1,599.59 4,859,555 44 \$2,799.39 123,173	15,000 525,000 155,527 \$33.63 5,230,000 2,204 \$970.38 2,138,454 140 \$1,685.29 236,546	(686) (161,101) 207,273 \$15.40 12,557,716 834 \$629.21 2,721,101 (96) \$1,114.10 (113,373) 14 \$2,448.29
Total Meter Work REPLACEMENTS Replacement Mains Units Unit Cost Dollars Replacement Resid Serv Units Unit Cost Dollars Replacement C&I Serv Units Unit Cost Dollars Unit Cost Dollars Unit Cost Dollars Unit Cost Dollars Uprate Units Unit Cost Dollars Uprate Units Unit Cost Dollars	362,800 \$49.03 17,787,716 3,038 \$1,599.59 4,859,555 44 \$2,799.39 123,173 14 \$2,448.29 34,276	15,000 525,000 155,527 \$33.63 5,230,000 2,204 \$970.38 2,138,454 140 \$1,685.29 236,546 0	207,273 \$15.40 12,557,716 834 \$629.21 2,721,101 (96) \$1,114.10 (113,373) 14 \$2,448.29 34,276
Total Meter Work REPLACEMENTS Replacement Mains Units Unit Cost Dollars Replacement Resid Serv Units Unit Cost Dollars Replacement C&I Serv Units Unit Cost Dollars Units Unit Cost Dollars Unit Cost Dollars Uprate Units Unit Cost Dollars Uprate Units Unit Cost Dollars Uprate Units Unit Cost Dollars Joint Sealing Units	362,800 \$49.03 17,787,716 3,038 \$1,599.59 4,859,555 44 \$2,799.39 123,173 14 \$2,448.29 34,276	15,000 525,000 155,527 \$33.63 5,230,000 2,204 \$970.38 2,138,454 140 \$1,685.29 236,546 0	207,273 \$15.40 12,557,716 834 \$629.21 2,721,101 (96) \$1,114.10 (113,373) 14 \$2,448.29 34,276
Total Meter Work REPLACEMENTS Replacement Mains Units Unit Cost Dollars Replacement Resid Serv Units Unit Cost Dollars Replacement C&I Serv Units Unit Cost Dollars Units Unit Cost Dollars Uprate Units Unit Cost Dollars Uprate Units Unit Cost Dollars Uprate Units Unit Cost Dollars Joint Sealing Units Unit Cost	362,800 \$49.03 17,787,716 3,038 \$1,599.59 4,859,555 44 \$2,799.39 123,173 14 \$2,448.29 34,276	15,000 525,000 155,527 \$33.63 5,230,000 2,204 \$970.38 2,138,454 140 \$1,685.29 236,546 0 0	(686) (161,101) 207,273 \$15.40 12,557,716 834 \$629.21 2,721,101 (96) \$1,114.10 (113,373) 14 \$2,448.29 34,276
Total Meter Work REPLACEMENTS Replacement Mains Units Unit Cost Dollars Replacement Resid Serv Units Unit Cost Dollars Replacement C&I Serv Units Unit Cost Dollars Unit Cost Dollars Uprate Units Unit Cost Dollars Uprate Units Unit Cost Dollars Uprate Units Unit Cost Dollars Joint Sealing Units Unit Cost Dollars	362,800 \$49.03 17,787,716 3,038 \$1,599.59 4,859,555 44 \$2,799.39 123,173 14 \$2,448.29 34,276	15,000 525,000 155,527 \$33.63 5,230,000 2,204 \$970.38 2,138,454 140 \$1,685.29 236,546 0 0	207,273 \$15.40 12,557,716 834 \$629.21 2,721,101 (96) \$1,114.10 (113,373) 14 \$2,448.29 34,276 34 \$402.07 377,704
Total Meter Work REPLACEMENTS Replacement Mains Units Unit Cost Dollars Replacement Resid Serv Units Unit Cost Dollars Replacement C&I Serv Units Unit Cost Dollars Unit Cost Dollars Uprate Units Unit Cost Dollars Uprate Units Unit Cost Dollars Uprate Units Unit Cost Dollars Joint Sealing Units Unit Cost Dollars Keyholing	362,800 \$49.03 17,787,716 3,038 \$1,599.59 4,859,555 44 \$2,799.39 123,173 14 \$2,448.29 34,276 900 \$869.67 782,704	15,000 525,000 155,527 \$33.63 5,230,000 2,204 \$970.38 2,138,454 140 \$1,685.29 236,546 0 0 866 \$467.60 405,000	(686) (161,101) 207,273 \$15.40 12,557,716 834 \$629.21 2,721,101 (96) \$1,114.10 (113,373) 14 \$2,448.29 34,276

Bay State Gas Company CY 2005 SQ Report D.T.E. 06-12 Appendix A Page 3 of 3

Bay State Gas Company CALENDAR YEAR 2005 CAPITAL SPENDING DETAIL

	(Col 1)	(Col 2)	(Col 3)
	12 MONTH	12 MONTH	Year To Date
	Actual	Budget	Variance
Cathodic Protection			
Units	544	561	(17)
Unit Cost	\$998.44	\$704.55	\$293.89
Dollars	543,149	395,000	148,149
Tie-Overs			
Units	1,326	775	551
Unit Cost	\$840.27	\$528.80	\$311.47
Dollars	1,114,200	410,000	704,200
Misc- Meter Barriers			
Units	207	350	(143)
Unit Cost	\$139.49	\$114.38	\$25.11
Dollars	28,874	40,000	(11,126)
Special Projects	(61,255)	0	(61,255)
MASS BS	0	16,956,000	(16,956,000)
BETTERMENT - REPLACE	0	0	0
Total Replacements	25,529,905	26,246,000	(716,095)
OTHER OPERATIONS			
Regulators	556,707	450,000	106,707
Plant Work	150,117	0	150,117
BETTERMENT REGULATORS	966,049	725,000	241,049
Meter Purchases	937,324	950,000	(12,676)
Small Projects	0	0	0
Special Projects	29,432	0	29,432
Meter Shop & ERT	673,725	490,000	183,725
Total Other Operations	3,313,354	2,615,000	698,354
TERRITARIA O GIA			
TECHNOLOGY	17,151	2,124,000	(2,106,849)
OTHER GENERAL			
	7 5 (1	0	7 5 (1
Office Equipment	7,561	110,000	7,561
Tools & Equipment Facilities Projects	144,943 231,040	110,000 250,000	34,943
Total Other	383,544	360,000	(18,960) 23,544
Overheads	6,615,803	6,785,581	
			(169,778)
Indirect Supv & Non Prod	4,582,089	3,914,419	667,670
Total Overheads	0	10 700 000	407.802
1 otai Overneads	11,197,892	10,700,000	497,892
Total LDC Capital	49,854,266	52,870,000	(3,015,734)

Bay State Gas Company CY 2005 SQ Report D.T.E. 06-12

Appendix B

BAY STATE GAS COMPANY INVENTORY EVALUATION 2005

	Dec-04	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEPT	ост	NOV	DEC
SPRINGFIELD													
Warehouse	\$767,608	\$832,804	\$821,558	\$821,904	\$868,249	\$914,551	\$896,239	\$989,704	\$991,021	\$989,912	\$975,545	\$947,187	\$972,768
Contractors	\$15,193	\$15,193	\$15,193	\$21,231	\$21,231	\$21,231	\$21,231	\$21,231	\$21,231	\$21,231	\$21,231	\$21,231	\$21,231
Plumbers	\$151,435	\$138,709	\$102,212	\$111,616	\$125,684	\$125,606	\$114,223	\$125,102	\$141,690	\$146,223	\$159,405	\$197,488	\$208,473
Truck Stock	\$174,738	\$174,738	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL	\$1,108,974	\$1,161,443	\$938,963	\$954,752	\$1,015,164	\$1,061,388	\$1,031,694	\$1,136,037	\$1,153,942	\$1,157,366	\$1,156,181	\$1,165,906	\$1,202,472
BROCKTON													
Warehouse	\$777,218	\$831,451	\$889,210	\$925,163	\$913,825	\$878,768	\$955,348	\$1,013,127	\$986,346	\$1,018,148	\$1,011,551	954161	\$1,009,049
Contractors	\$58,009	\$58,009	\$32,765	\$35,704	\$54,255	\$52,457	\$72,089	\$78,529	\$64,485	\$74,158	\$88,866	\$99,903	\$103,995
Plumbers Truck Stock	\$172,948 \$159,337	\$199,197 \$159,337	\$165,092 \$0	\$136,186 \$0	\$138,701 \$0	\$141,516 \$0	\$129,589 \$0	\$160,050 \$0	\$190,770 \$0	\$149,715 \$0	\$203,346 \$0	\$225,457 \$0	\$249,506 \$0
Truck Stock	φ139,337	φ109,007	ΨΟ	ΨΟ	ΨΟ	ΨΟ	ΨΟ	ΨΟ	ΨΟ	ΨΟ	ΨΟ	ΨΟ	ΨΟ
TOTAL	\$1,167,511	\$1,247,994	\$1,087,066	\$1,097,054	\$1,106,781	\$1,072,741	\$1,157,026	\$1,251,707	\$1,241,601	\$1,242,021	\$1,303,763	\$1,279,521	\$1,362,550
LAWRENCE													
Warehouse	\$487,655	\$485,924	\$482,610	\$585,881	\$577,093	\$563,014	\$522,609	\$512,776	\$572,295	\$553,590	\$581,586	\$550,886	\$687,672
Contractors Plumbers	\$10,896 \$41,871	\$4,896 \$32,129	\$5,931 \$29,053	\$25,373 \$37,858	\$16,742 \$26,758	\$20,179 \$34,597	\$15,218 \$33,734	\$14,517 \$39,778	\$12,731 \$39,107	\$19,918 \$37,312	\$19,918 \$34,530	\$19,918 \$42,181	\$6,959 \$58,813
Truck Stock	\$81,067	\$81,067	\$29,033 \$0	\$37,636 \$0	\$20,738	\$34,59 <i>1</i> \$0	\$33,734 \$0	\$39,776 \$0	\$0	\$37,312 \$0	\$34,530 \$0	\$0	\$0 \$0
		. ,		·	·					•			
TOTAL	\$621,490	\$604,016	\$517,594	\$649,111	\$620,593	\$617,790	\$571,560	\$567,072	\$624,133	\$610,820	\$636,034	\$612,985	\$753,444
PORTSMOUTH													
Warehouse	\$594,000	\$605,278	\$616,214	\$642,593	\$650,265	\$647,129	\$656,103	\$694,196	\$681,890	\$681,445	\$685,417	\$671,298	\$679,409
Portland	\$37,890	\$39,081	\$38,025	\$33,067	\$44,245	\$42,382	\$39,980	\$40,849	\$31,629	\$49,494	\$39,292	\$77,341	\$26,537
Lewiston Contractors	\$3,932 \$16,450	\$4,395 \$16,450	\$4,395 \$16,450	\$3,693 \$16,911	\$28,269 \$12,819	\$81,578 \$13,517	\$103,704 \$13,517	\$103,378 \$13,517	\$96,385 \$14,725	\$228,781 \$16,284	\$231,659 \$16,284	\$210,808 \$16,284	\$78,613 \$16,285
Truck Stock	\$89,199	\$89,199	\$89,199	\$10,911	\$12,619 \$0	\$13,517 \$0	\$13,517 \$0	\$13,517 \$0	\$14,725 \$0	\$16,264 \$0	\$16,264 \$0	\$10,204 \$0	\$10,200
TOTAL	\$741,471	\$754,402	\$764,284	\$696,264	\$735,599	\$784,606	\$813,304	\$851,941	\$824,629	\$976,004	\$972,652	\$975,731	\$800,844
TOTAL WAREHOUSE		\$2,798,932	\$2,852,012	\$3,012,301	\$3,081,946	\$3,127,423		\$3,354,031	\$3,359,566	\$3,521,370	\$3,525,050	\$3,411,681	\$3,454,048
TOTAL CONTRACTOR TOTAL PLUMBER	\$100,548 \$366,254	\$94,548 \$370,034	\$70,338	\$99,219 \$285.660	\$105,048 \$291.143	\$107,384 \$301.719	\$122,055 \$077,547	\$127,794	\$113,172	\$131,591	\$146,299 \$397,281	\$157,336	\$148,470
TOTAL PLUMBER TOTAL TRUCK STOCK	\$300,254 \$504,341	\$370,034 \$504,341	\$296,357 \$89,199	\$285,660 \$0	\$291,143	\$301,719	\$277,547 \$0	\$324,931 \$0	\$371,567 \$0	\$333,250 \$0	\$397,281	\$465,126 \$0	\$516,792 \$0
					• •	*-	**			• •		* -	
LUDLOW PROJECT	\$226,841	\$226,841	\$226,841	\$226,841	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
WYETH PROJECT	\$99,313	\$99,313	\$99,313	\$99,313	\$99,313	\$99,313	\$99,313	\$99,313	\$99,313	\$99,313	\$99,313	\$99,313	\$99,313
GRAND TOTAL	\$3,965,601	\$4,094,009	\$3,634,061	\$3,723,335	\$3,577,450	\$3,635,838	\$3,672,897	\$3,906,069	\$3,943,618	\$4,085,524	\$4,167,943	\$4,133,456	\$4,218,623
INVENTORY CHANGE PER													
LOCATION PER MONTH		\$52,469	-\$222,480	\$15,788	\$60,412	\$46,224	-\$29,694	\$104,343	\$17,905	\$3,424	-\$1,185	\$9,725	\$36,566
Springfield Brockton		\$80.483	-\$222,460 -\$160.928	\$15,766 \$9.988	\$9,727	-\$34,040	-\$29,694 \$84,285	\$94,681	-\$10,106	\$3,424 \$420	-\$1,165 \$61,742	\$9,725 -\$24,242	\$83.029
Lawrence		-\$17,474	-\$86,422	\$131,517	-\$28,519	-\$2,802	-\$46,230	-\$4,489	\$57,061	-\$13,313	\$25,214	-\$23,049	\$140,459
Portsmouth		\$12,931	\$9,881	-\$68,020	\$39,336	\$49,007	\$28,698	\$38,637	-\$27,312	\$151,375	-\$3,352	\$3,079	-\$174,887
Ludlow		\$0	\$0	\$0	-\$226,841	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Wyeth		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
TOTAL CHANGE PER MONTH		\$128,408	-\$459,949	\$89,274	-\$145,885	\$58,389	\$37,058	\$233,173	\$37,549	\$141,906	\$82,419	-\$34,487	\$85,167
TOTAL CHANGE 2004/2005		\$128,408	-\$331,540	-\$242,266	-\$516,559	\$1,778	-\$50,438	-\$59,532	-\$150,391	\$451,463	\$444,608	\$556,006	\$582,785

NOTES: 1/ The Company notes that the 12/31/05 balance of \$4,218,623 reported above will not match the Plant Materials and Operating Supplies (Account 154) reported on Page 24 of the 2005 Annual Report. Appendix B excludes inventory held at contractor warehouses, some truck stock, and some inventory being repaired at the Company's fabrication shops. Appendix B also includes inventory at the Company's Portsmouth, NH office, which is not carried on Bay State's books.